## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MAINE

J.S. MCCARTHY, CO., INC., d/b/a	)	
J.S. MCCARTHY PRINTERS,	)	
Dlaintiff	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	Civil No. 04-107-B-W
BRAUSSE DIECUTTING & CONVERTING EQUIPMENT, INC.,	)	
convenience Equipment, inc.,	)	
Defendant.	)	

## ORDER AFFIRMING THE RECOMMENDED DECISION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

The United States Magistrate Judge filed with the Court on April 22, 2005 her Recommended Decision. The Plaintiff filed its objections to the Recommended Decision on May 9, 2005. I have reviewed and considered the Magistrate Judge's Recommended Decision, together with the entire record; I have made a <u>de novo</u> determination of all matters adjudicated by the Magistrate Judge's Recommended Decision; and I concur with the recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge for the reasons set forth in her Recommended Decision, and determine that no further proceeding is necessary.

- 1. It is therefore <u>ORDERED</u> that the Recommended Decision of the Magistrate Judge is hereby AFFIRMED.
- 2. It is further <u>ORDERED</u> that Defendant Brausse Diecutting & Converting Equipment, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment (Docket #40) is <u>GRANTED</u>, in <u>Part</u>, on Counts III (fraud), IV (negligent misrepresentation), V (deceptive trade practices), and VII (punitive damages).
- 3. It is further <u>ORDERED</u> that Defendant Brausse's Motion for Summary Judgment (Docket #40) is <u>DENIED</u>, in Part, on Counts I (breach of contract), II (breach of express warranty), VI (revocation of acceptance, and on Brausse's counterclaim.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ John A. Woodcock, Jr.
JOHN A. WOODCOCK, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated this 14th day of June, 2005